arXiv:1303.4079v1 [math.AG] 17 Mar 2013

NORI'S FUNDAMENTAL GROUP OVER A NON ALGEBRAICALLY CLOSED FIELD

LEI ZHANG

Abstract. M.V.Nori defined for each pair (X, x), where X is a connected reduced scheme over a field k and $x \in X(k)$ is a rational point, a fundamental group scheme $\pi^N(X/k, x)$ which classifies all the finite flat torsors over X. Because x is a rational point the fundamental group is always trivial when $X = \mathrm{Spec}\,(k)$, but the étale fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathrm{Spec}\,(k), x) = \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$. In this note we generalize Nori's definition to an arbitrary base point x. In this way we could get a non-trivial definition of $\pi^N(k/k, x)$ for a non algebraically closed field k. This makes Nori's fundamental group more comparable to Grothendieck's étale fundamental group when the base field is not algebraically closed.

1. Introduction

Let X be a locally noetherian connected scheme, $x \hookrightarrow X$ be a geometric point. In [SGA1][Exposé V] A.Grothendieck constructed for (X, x) a profinite group $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, x)$ which classifies all the finite étale coverings of X. If X is a spectrum of a field k, then $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, x)$ is just the absolute Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$.

Let X be a reduced connected scheme over a field k, $x \in X(k)$ be a rational point. In [Nori, Part I, Chapter II] M.V.Nori defined a profinite k-group scheme $\pi^N(X, x)$ which classifies all the FPQC-torsors on X with finite group scheme actions. This can be thought of as a generalization of Grothendieck's étale fundamental group. In fact if $k = \bar{k}$ then $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, x)$ is just the k-points of the pro-étale quotient of $\pi^N(X, x)$. In particular if $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$ and $k = \bar{k}$, then $\pi^N(X, x)(k) = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, x)$. But since x is chosen to be rational, so when $X = \mathrm{Spec}\,(k)$, $\pi^N(X, x)$ is trivial. So in some sense Nori's fundamental group only generalizes the étale fundamental group for schemes over algebraically closed fields.

Let X be a reduced connected scheme over a field k, $x : S \to X$ be an arbitrary point. Let $N(X/k, x)$ be the category of torsors under finite group schemes with fixed S-points lying over x. In the first part of this note we construct for any filtered full subcategory $I \subseteq N(X/k, x)$ a neutral Tannakian category $\mathfrak{C}(X/k, x, I)$. We then define $\pi^I(X/k, x)$ to be its Tannakian group. If $I = N(X/k, x)$ and x is a $k-$rational point, then this coincides with Nori's original definition. The aim of this construction is twofold. One is an attempt to generalize the definition of Nori's fundamental group to an arbitrary base point x so that when $X = \mathrm{Spec}\,(k)$ and $x = (k \subseteq \bar{k})$ we could get a non-trivial profinite group scheme which classifies all finite torsors over k and which admits $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ as a pro-étale

---

Date: Feburary 15, 2013.

This work was supported by the European Research Council (ERC) Advanced Grant 0419744101 and the Einstein Foundation.

quotient. The other aim of this construction is to give a Tannakian description for Nori's fundamental group. In this direction a lot work has been done. M.V.Nori first constructed in [Nori, Part I] for a proper X over a perfect field the category of essentially finite vector bundles which is Tannakian and whose Tannakian group is precisely Nori's fundamental group scheme. Then H.Esnault and A.Hogadi constructed in [EH] the Tannakian category of generalized vector bundles for smooth varieties over a perfect field and then proved that Nori's fundamental group is just the profinite quotient of the Tannakian group of the generalized stratified bundles. The category we consider here is more general, it is for an arbitrary reduced connected scheme with an arbitrary base point. But because of the generality the resulting category is much "cruder" than the previous ones.

Then we try to find the relations among the fundamental groups obtained by choosing different subcategories I. When $I = N(X/k, x)$, the fundamental group is denoted by $\pi^N(X/k, x)$; when I is taken to be those torsors whose groups are étale the fundamental group is denoted by $\pi^E(X/k, x)$; when I is taken to be those torsors whose groups are constant over k the fundamental group is denoted by $\pi^G(X/k, x)$; when I is taken to be those torsors whose groups are local the fundamental group is denoted by $\pi^L(X/k, x)$. From 3.3 one can see that when x is a geometric point $\pi^G(X/k, x)$ is a profinite affine k-group scheme whose k-points (or equivalently $\bar{k}$-points) is $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, x)$. So $\pi^G(X/k, x)$ is none other than the "group scheme version" of $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, x)$. We have the following surjections (in FPQC sense) 3.6,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \pi^E(X/k, x) \arrow[r, two heads] & \pi^G(X/k, x) \\
\pi^N(X/k, x) \arrow[ru, two heads] \arrow[rd, two heads] & & \\
 & \pi^L(X/k, x) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

and we give examples 3.7 to show that each of the above surjection is not an isomorphism in general. In fact althrough $\pi^G(X/k, x)$ (or $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, x)$) and $\pi^E(X/k, x)$ look quite alike they are indeed largely different. $\pi^E(X/k, x)$ contains a lot of non-trivial forms of the constant group schemes which make it more complicated. For example even when $X = \text{Spec}\,(k)$ the universal covering of X under $\pi^E(X/k, x)$ is not in general connected 4.8, so in the study of $\pi^E(X/k, x)$ one can not solely rely on connected torsors. But of course when $k = \bar{k}$ we have $\pi^E(X/k, x) = \pi^G(X/k, x)$.

Next we take a closer look at the profinite group scheme $\pi^E(X/k, x)$. The main point is to establish the fundamental exact sequence of π^E which goes parallel with the fundamental exact sequence of $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}$ (see [SGA1][Exposé IX, Théorème 6.1]). The result is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *If X is a reduced scheme geometrically connected of finite type over a perfect field k, $\bar{x} : \text{Spec}\,(\bar{k}) \hookrightarrow X$, then there is a natural exact sequence of k-group schemes*

$$1 \to \pi^E(X \times_k \bar{k}/k, \bar{x}) \to \pi^E(X/k, \bar{x}) \to \pi^E(k/k, \bar{x}) \to 1.$$

We also prove that π^E is stable under base change by algebraically field extensions 4.7, more precisely for any algebraically field extension $l \subseteq l'$ we have

$$\pi^E(X \times_k l'/k, \bar{x}) \cong \pi^E(X \times_k l/k, \bar{x}).$$

This is a statement parallel to [SGA1][Exposé X, Corollaire 1.8.].

In the last section we try to understand the more sophisticated fundamental groups $\pi^N(X/k, x)$ and $\pi^L(X/k, x)$. We first assume k is perfect. In this case we have 5.1:

Proposition 1.2. *Let k be a perfect field then the natural surjection*

$$\pi^N(k/k, \bar{x}) \longrightarrow \pi^E(k/k, \bar{x})$$

is an isomorphism.

This is pretty much we could expect for perfect fields. And in particular we have $\pi^L(k/k, \bar{x})$ is trivial 5.2. Then we try to understand the fundamental exact sequence for π^L and π^N over a perfect k. For π^L we have a positive answer 5.3:

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a scheme geometrically connected separable and of finite type over a perfect field k. Let $\bar{x} : \mathrm{Spec}\,(\bar{k}) \to X$ be a geometric point. Then the canonical map of affine k-group schemes*

$$\pi_k^{\bar{k}} : \pi^L(X \times_k \bar{k}/k, \bar{x}) \to \pi^L(X/k, \bar{x})$$

is an isomorphism.

For π^N we only have the exact squence for abelian varieties 5.6:

Proposition 1.4. *Let X be an abelian variety over a perfect field k. Let $\bar{x} : \mathrm{Spec}\,(\bar{k}) \to X$ be identity point. Then we have a natural exact sequence of k-group schemes*

$$1 \to \pi^N(X \times_k \bar{k}/k, \bar{x}) \to \pi^N(X/k, \bar{x}) \to \pi^N(k/k, \bar{x}) \to 1.$$

The general case is not known to us. When k is not perfect, π^L is not trivial anymore because any purely inseparable degree $p = \mathrm{char}(k)$ extension is a non-trivial μ_p-torsor (and also an α_p-torsor). In this case we managed to prove the fundamental exact sequence for the local commutative quotient π^{LC} of π^N for complete schemes 5.7:

Proposition 1.5. *Let X be a scheme proper separable and geometrically connected over an arbitrary field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $\bar{x} : \mathrm{Spec}\,(\bar{k}) \to X$ be a geometric point. Then we have an exact sequence of affine k-group schemes:*

$$1 \to \pi^{LC}(X \times_k \bar{k}/k, \bar{x}) \to \pi^{LC}(X/k, \bar{x}) \to \pi^{LC}(k/k, \bar{x}) \to 1.$$

Acknowledgments: I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my Ph.D advisor Hélène Esnault for leading me into this beautiful π_1 world, supporting my career and influencing me from her work. I thank my friend Jilong Tong for very enlightening discussions in Bordeaux. I also thank all my colleagues in FU Berlin especially Kay Rülling for a lot of helpful discussions and encouragements.

2. THE CATEGORY $\mathfrak{C}(X/k, x, I)$

Let X be a reduced connected scheme over a field k, $x : S \to X$ be a morphism of k-schemes.

Definition 1. We use $N(X/k, x)$ to denote the category of triples (P, G, p) where G is a finite group scheme over the field k, P is a right G-torsor over X in the FPQC-topology, $p : S \to P$ is morphism in the category of X-schemes. The morphisms in $N(X/k, x)$ are defined in the obvious way.

Definition 2. Let I be a category. We say I is filtered if for any two objects $i, j \in I$ there exist two morphisms $k \to i, k \to j$ in I, and for any two morphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd} j \arrow[r, bend left, "s"] \arrow[r, bend right, "t"'] & i \end{tikzcd}$$

there are two morphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd} k \arrow[r, bend left, "a"] \arrow[r, bend right, "b"'] & j \arrow[r, bend left, "s"] \arrow[r, bend right, "t"'] & i \end{tikzcd}$$

such that $sa = tb$.

Remark 2.1. The category $N(X/k, x)$ is filtered. The proof is due to M.V.Nori. See [Nori][Chapter II, Proposition 1 and Proposition 2] for details.

Definition 3. Let $I \subseteq N(X/k, x)$ be a filtered full subcategory. We use $\mathfrak{C}(X/k, x, I)$ to denote the category whose objects are couples $(V, (P, G_P, p))$ where $(P, G_P, p) \in I$, $V \in \mathsf{Rep}_k(G_P)$. By abuse of notation we simply write

$$(V, P) := (V, (P, G_P, p))$$

instead. The morphisms between two triples (V, P) and (W, Q) are definied by the set

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(X/k,x,I)}((V, P), (W, Q)) := \varinjlim_{i \in I_P} \mathrm{Hom}((V, i), (W, Q)),$$

where I_P denotes the category morphisms $X \to P \in I$, and $\mathrm{Hom}((V, i), (W, Q))$ is the set of "honest morphisms" which is defined by the set of pairs (λ, f), where $f : i \to Q$ is a morphism in I and $\lambda : V \to f^*W$ is a morphism in $\mathsf{Rep}_k(G_i)$. If we have another object $(U, O) \in \mathfrak{C}(X/k, x, I)$, then the composition

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(X/k,x,I)}((U, O), (V, P)) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(X/k,x,I)}((V, P), (W, Q)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(X/k,x,I)}((U, O), (W, Q))$$

is defined in the following way: suppose we have two honest morphisms

$$(\lambda_1, f_1) \in \mathrm{Hom}((U, O'), (V, P)) \quad \text{and} \quad (\lambda_2, f_2) \in \mathrm{Hom}((V, P'), (W, Q))$$

which represent the two morphisms

$$a \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(X/k,x,I)}((U, O), (V, P)) \quad \text{and} \quad b \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(X/k,x,I)}((V, P), (W, Q))$$

respectively. Then we have $O'' \in I_P$ which dominates both P' and O'. Let $f_3 : O'' \to Q$ be the composition of $O'' \to P' \xrightarrow{f_2} Q$. Let $\lambda_3 : U \to f_3^* W$ by composing λ_1 with λ_2. So we can define $b \circ a$ to be the morphism which is represented by the honest morphism

$$(\lambda_3, f_3) \in \mathrm{Hom}((U, O''), (W, Q))$$

It is easy to check that this composition is independent of the choice of the representatives. If $I = N(X/k, x)$ we will just write

$$\mathfrak{C}(X/k, x) := \mathfrak{C}(X/k, x, I).$$

Remark 2.2. If we have a morphism $f : i \to j \in I \subseteq N(X/k, x)$ and an object $(V, j) \in \mathfrak{C}(X/k, x, I)$, then we get another object (V, i) with V being the pull-back of the G_j-representation to G_i. Directly from our definition we see that the canonical map $(id, f) : (V, i) \to (V, j)$ is an isomorphism.

Proposition 2.3. *Notations and assumptions being as above, the category* $\mathfrak{C}(X/k, x, I)$ *with the forgetful functor*

$$\omega : \mathfrak{C}(X/k, x, I) \to \mathrm{Vec}_k$$

sending $(V, P) \mapsto V$ *forms a neutral Tannakian category.*

Proof. (1). $\mathfrak{C}(X/k, x, I)$ is a k-linear category. We define for any two elements

$$a, b \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(X/k,x,I)}((V, P), (W, Q))$$

the sum $a + b$ in the following way.

Suppose a is represented by an honest morphism $(\lambda, f) \in \mathrm{Hom}((V, P_1), (W, Q))$ for some $P_1 \in I_P$ and b is represented by $(\mu, g) \in \mathrm{Hom}((V, P_2), (W, Q))$ for some $P_2 \in I_P$, then using the fact that I is filtered we can find $P' \in I_P$ and two maps $s : P' \to P_1$, $t : P' \to P_2$ such that the compositions $P' \xrightarrow{s} P_1 \xrightarrow{f} Q$ and $P' \xrightarrow{t} P_2 \xrightarrow{g} Q$ are the same. By 2.2 we may assume $P' = P_1 = P_2$ and $f' = f = g$. Now we just define $a + b$ to be the morphism represented by $(\lambda + \mu, f') \in \mathrm{Hom}((V, P'), (W, Q))$.

For any morphism

$$a \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(X/k,x,I)}((V, P), (W, Q))$$

by using the fact that I is filtered and 2.2, we may assume $P = Q = P'$ for some $P' \in I \subseteq N(X/k, x)$ and a is represented by an honest morphism

$$a = (\lambda, id) \in \mathrm{Hom}((V, P'), (W, P')).$$

We define a to be zero by requiring that $\lambda : V \to W$ is the 0 map. For any $s \in k$ we define the k-linear multiplication $sa := (a\lambda, id)$. Under these definition

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(X/k,x,I)}((V, P), (W, Q))$$

becomes a k-vector space.

We also have a canonical map

$$\theta : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(X/k,x,I)}((V, P), (W, Q)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Vec}_k}(V, W)$$

sending the pair $(\lambda, id) \mapsto \lambda$. One can check easily that this is well defined and that θ is k-linear. It is clear that θ is injective. This implies that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(X/k,x,I)}((V,P),(W,Q))$ is a finite dimensional k-vector space.

We can define the direct sum of two objects (V,P) and (W,Q) as

$$(V \oplus W, P')$$

for some P' which dominates both P and Q.

With the above definition $\mathfrak{C}(X/k,x,I)$ becomes k-linear category.

(2). $\mathfrak{C}(X/k,x,I)$ is an abelian category. Given any morphism

$$a : (V,P) \to (W,Q) \in \mathfrak{C}(X/k,x,I),$$

as usual, we could choose some P' which dominates both P and Q and make a a morphism $(\lambda, id) \in \mathrm{Hom}((V,P'),(W,P'))$. Then we can define $\mathrm{Ker}(a)$ to be $(\mathrm{Ker}(\lambda), P')$ and $\mathrm{Coker}(a)$ to be $(\mathrm{Coker}(\lambda), P')$.

(3). $\mathfrak{C}(X/k,x,I)$ is a tensor category. For two objects

$$(V,P),(W,Q) \in \mathfrak{C}(X/k,x,I)$$

we can define

$$(V,P) \otimes (W,Q) := (V \otimes W, P').$$

The distinguished element (k,X) serves as the identity object.

(4). $\mathfrak{C}(X/k,x,I)$ is a rigid tensor category. We define the internal Hom

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}((V,P),(W,Q)) := (\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Rep}_k(G_{P'})}(V,W), P').$$

One checks that for any (U,O), there is a canonical isomorphism of sets between

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(X/k,x,I)}((U,O) \otimes (V,P),(W,Q))$$

and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(X/k,x,I)}((U,O), \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}((V,P),(W,Q))).$$

Using the canonical imbedding

$$\theta : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(X/k,x,I)}((V,P),(W,Q)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Vec}_k}(V,W)$$

and the fact that a morphism is invertible in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(X/k,x,I)}((V,P),(W,Q))$ if and only if it is invertible in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Vec}_k}(V,W)$ one can check readily that we have the following canonical isomorphisms

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X_1,Y_1) \otimes \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X_2,Y_2) \to \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X_1 \otimes X_2, Y_1 \otimes Y_2)$$

$$X \longrightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X,U),U),$$

where $X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2, X \in \mathfrak{C}(X/k,x,I)$.

(5). The forgetful functor

$$\mathfrak{C}(X/k,x,I) \to \mathrm{Vec}_k$$

sending $(V, P) \mapsto V$ is clearly a k-linear exact faithful tensor functor. This finishes the proof. □

Definition 4. Let X be a locally noetherian connected reduced scheme over a field k, $x : S \to X$ be a morphism of k-schemes, $I \subseteq N(X/k, x)$ be a filtered full subcategory. We define the I-fundamental group scheme $\pi^I(X/k, x)$ as the Tannakian group $\mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}(\omega)$ of the neutral Tannakian category $(\mathfrak{C}(X/k, x, I), \omega)$.

Definition 5. Now for each $i \in I$ we have a canonical functor

$$F_i : \mathsf{Rep}_k(G_i) \to \mathfrak{C}(X/k, x, I)$$

sending $V \mapsto (V, i)$ and $\phi : V \to W \in \mathsf{Rep}_k(G_i)$ to the map $(\phi, id) : (V, i) \to (W, i)$. If we have a morphism $\phi_{ij} : i \to j \in I$, then the map of k-group schemes $G_i \to G_j$ induces a functor

$$\phi_{ij}^* : \mathsf{Rep}_k(G_j) \to \mathsf{Rep}_k(G_i)$$

with an isomorphism of k-linear tensor functors $\alpha_{ij} : F_j \xrightarrow{\cong} F_i \circ \phi_{ij}^*$ making the following diagram of functors 2-commutative.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathsf{Rep}_k(G_j) \arrow[dd, "\phi_{ij}^*"'] \arrow[dr, "F_j"] & \\
& \mathfrak{C}(X/k, x, I) \\
\mathsf{Rep}_k(G_i) \arrow[ur, "F_i"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proposition 2.4. *If $\mathfrak{D}$ is a category and for each $i \in I$ we have a functor*

$$T_i : \mathsf{Rep}_k(G_i) \to \mathfrak{D}$$

and for each $\phi_{ij} : i \to j \in I$ we have an isomorphism of functors $\beta_{ij} : T_j \cong T_i \circ \phi_{ij}^*$ making the following diagram of functors 2-commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathsf{Rep}_k(G_j) \arrow[dd, "\phi_{ij}^*"'] \arrow[dr, "T_j"] & \\
& \mathfrak{D} \\
\mathsf{Rep}_k(G_i) \arrow[ur, "T_i"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

which satisfies

$$\beta_{ij} \cdot \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ik} : \quad T_k \cong T_j \circ \phi_{jk}^* \cong T_i \circ \phi_{ij}^* \circ \phi_{jk}^* = T_i \circ \phi_{ik}^*,$$

then there is a functor $\iota : \mathfrak{C}(X/k, x, I) \to \mathfrak{D}$ *with isomorphisms* $a_i : T_i \to \iota \circ F_i$*, unique up to a unique isomorphism, making the following diagram of functors 2-commutative*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathsf{Rep}_k(G_j) \arrow[dd, "\phi_{ij}^*"'] \arrow[dr, "F_j"'] \arrow[drr, "T_j"] & & \\
& \mathfrak{C}(X/k, x, I) \arrow[r, "\iota"] & \mathfrak{D} \\
\mathsf{Rep}_k(G_i) \arrow[ur, "F_i"] \arrow[urr, "T_i"'] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

in the sense that the composition

$$T_j \xrightarrow{a_j} \iota \circ F_j \xrightarrow{\alpha_{ij}} \iota \circ F_i \circ \phi_{ij}^* \xrightarrow{a_i^{-1}} T_i \circ \phi_{ij}^*$$

is equal to the prescribed isomorphism $\beta_{ij} : T_j \cong T_i \circ \phi_{ij}^*$.

If $\mathfrak{D}$ *is a neutral Tannakian category such that* T_i *are all* k*-linear tensor functors which commute with the fibre functors and if the isomorphisms* $\beta_{ij} : T_j \cong T_i \circ \phi_{ij}^*$ *are tensor isomorphisms, then* ι *can be chosen to be a* k*-linear tensor functor which commutes with the canonical fibre functor of* $\mathfrak{C}(X/k, x, I)$ *such that* a_i *is a tensor isomorphism for each* $i \in I$*, and such* ι *(with* a_i*) is unique up to a unique tensor isomorphism.*

Proof. We first define ι. If $(V, i) \in \mathfrak{C}(X/k, x, I)$, we define $\iota(V, i) := T_i(V)$. If

$$\sigma : (V, i) \to (W, j) \in \mathfrak{C}(X/k, x, I)$$

is a morphism then we choose $i' \in I$ which dominates $i, j \in I$ and an honest morphism $\varsigma : (V, i') \to (W, i') \in \mathrm{Hom}((V, i'), (W, i'))$ which represents σ. Now we define

$$\iota(\sigma) := T_i(V) \xrightarrow{\beta_{ii'}^{-1}} T_{i'}(V) \xrightarrow{T_k(\varsigma)} T_{i'}(W) \xrightarrow{\beta_{ji'}} T_j(W).$$

It is easily seen that ι is well-defined and we can take a_i to be the identities so that ι commutes with T_i and F_i in the sense stated in the proposition. If ι' is another functor with isomorphisms a_i' which satisfies our conditions, then we have

$$\iota(V, i) = T_i(V) \underset{\cong}{\xrightarrow{a_i'}} \iota'(F_i(V)) = \iota'(V, i)$$

for each $(V, i) \in \mathfrak{C}(X/k, x)$. This establishes for each $i \in I$ an isomorphism of functors $\delta : \iota \to \iota'$ which is compatible with a_i. Clearly δ is uniquely determined by this compatibility.

If $\mathfrak{D}$ is a neutral Tannakian category and T_i are all k-linear tensor functors which commute with the fibre functors, then for each two objects $(V, i), (W, j) \in \mathfrak{C}(X/k, x, I)$, we define an isomorphism in $\mathfrak{D}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\iota((V, i) \otimes (W, j)) &\cong \iota((V, i') \otimes (W, i')) = \iota((V \otimes W, i') \\
&= T_{i'}(V \otimes W) \cong T_{i'}(V) \otimes T_{i'}(W) \\
&= \iota(V, i') \otimes \iota(W, i') \cong \iota(V, i) \otimes \iota(W, j).
\end{aligned}$$

This isomorphism is functorial and establishes ι as a tensor functor. The k-linearity of ι can be checked easily. If ι' is another k-linear tensor functor with a'_i being tensor isomorphisms, then by construction the isomorphism $\delta : \iota \overset{\cong}{\to} \iota'$ is a tensor isomorphism. □

Remark 2.5. 2.4 tells us that $\mathfrak{C}(X/k, x, I)$ is the "2-direct limit" of the 2-functor $i \mapsto \mathsf{Rep}_k(G_i)$ not only in the 2-category of categories but also in the 2-category of k-linear tensor categories. This idea is not completely new, in fact, in [SGA4][Exposé VI,§6], Grothendieck has already defined the notion of 2-direct limit for a fibred category $\mathcal{F}$ as the localization category of $\mathcal{F}$ at all its cartesian morphisms. In our case we could take the 2-functor $i \mapsto \mathsf{Rep}_k(G_i)$ as a fibred category. But there are two things which one has to be careful about. One is that we are working with the 2-category of neutral Tannakian categories instead of the 2-category of categories, so all the functors are k-linear tensor functors and morphisms between functors are tensor morphisms. The other is that our definition of a filtered category is a little more general than the one in [SGA4] (which is the standard one).

3. First Properties of $\pi^I(X/k, x)$

3.1. **The Universal Covering.** As in[Nori][Chapter II, Proposition 2] we can define the universal covering for our fundamental group scheme.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a connected reduced scheme over a field k, $x : S \to X$ be a morphism of k-schemes, $I \subseteq N(X/k, x)$ be a filtered full subcategory. Let $\pi^I(X/k, x) := \varprojlim_{i\in I} G_i$ (see 3.3 for a justification). Then there exists a triple $(\widetilde{X_x}, \pi^I(X/k, x), \tilde{x})$, where $\widetilde{X_x}$ is a $\pi^I(X/k, x)$-torsor in FPQC topology over X, $\tilde{x} : S \to \widetilde{X_x}$ is an S-point of $\widetilde{X_x}$ lying above x, which satisfies for any $(P, G, p) \in I$ there exists a unique morphism*

$$(\phi, h) : (\widetilde{X_x}, \pi^I(X/k, x), \tilde{x}) \to (P, G, p),$$

where $h : \pi^I(X/k, x) \to G$ is homomorphism of k-group schemes and $\phi : \widetilde{X_x} \to P$ is a morphism of X-schemes sending $\tilde{x}$ to p which intertwines the group actions.

Proof. Consider the following functor

$$F_X : I \to \mathrm{Aff}(X), \qquad (P, G, p) \mapsto P$$

where $\mathrm{Aff}(X)$ denotes the category of affine schemes over X. Let

$$\widetilde{X_x} := \varprojlim_{i\in I} F_X(i)$$

$\widetilde{X_x}$ is an affine scheme over X which admits a point $\tilde{x} : S \to \widetilde{X_x}$ lying above x.

Now we get a triple $(\widetilde{X_x}, \pi^I(X/k, x), \tilde{x})$ which has the property that for any $i := (P, G, p) \in I$ there is a morphism

$$(\phi_i, h_i) : (\widetilde{X_x}, \pi^I(X/k, x), \tilde{x}) \to (P, G, p)$$

defined by the projection to the index $i \in I$. Suppose there is another morphism

$$(\phi, h) : (\widetilde{X_x}, \pi^I(X/k, x), \tilde{x}) \to (P, G, p).$$

Considering $\pi^I(X/k,x)$ as a inductive limit of Hopf-algebras and $\widetilde{X_x}$ as an inductive limit of O_X-coherent algebras, we can find an index $j := (P', G', p') \in I$ such that ϕ, h factors through the projection morphisms

$$\phi_j : \widetilde{X_x} \to P' \qquad \text{and} \qquad h_j : \pi^I(X/k,x) \to G'.$$

Thus we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& (\widetilde{X_x}, \pi^I(X/k,x), \tilde{x}) \arrow[dl, "{(\phi_j,h_j)}"'] \arrow[dr, "{(\phi,h)}"] & \\
(P', G', p') \arrow[rr, "{(\varphi,g)}"] & & (P, G, p)
\end{tikzcd} .$$

But by the very definition of a projective limit, we know that $(\varphi, g) \circ (\phi_j, h_j) = (\phi_i, h_i)$. Thus $(\phi_i, h_i) = (\phi, h)$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 3.2. *Let G be any finite k-group scheme. Two objects $(P, G, p), (Q, G, q) \in N(X/k,x)$ are considered to be equivalent if there is an isomorphism $(P, G, p) \to (Q, G, q)$ in which the map $G \to G$ is the identity. $T(G)$ be the set of equivalent classes of triples in $N(X/k,x)$ whose group is G. Then there is an isomorphism of sets*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{grp.sch}}(\pi^N(X/k,x), G) \xrightarrow{\cong} T(G)$$

given by sending a k-group scheme homomorphism f to the contracted product

$$(\widetilde{X_x} \times_f^{\pi^N(X/k,x)} G, G, x).$$

Proposition 3.3. *Let X be a connected reduced scheme over a field k, $x : S \to X$ be a morphism of k-schemes, $I \subseteq N(X/k,x)$ be a filtered full subcategory. Then there is an isomorphism of k-group schemes*

$$\pi^I(X/k,x) \xrightarrow{\cong} \varprojlim_{i\in I} G_i.$$

Proof. Let G be an affine group scheme over a field k equipped with a k-group scheme homomorphism $t_i : G \to G_i$ for each $i \in I$ and suppose that these t_is are compatible with the transition morphisms $\phi_{ij} : i \to j \in I$, then we get for each i a k-linear tensor functor

$$T_i : \mathsf{Rep}_k(G_i) \to \mathsf{Rep}_k(G)$$

and $T_j = T_i \circ \phi_{ij}^*$ for each $j \in I$. By 2.4 there is a k-linear tensor functor $\iota : \mathfrak{C}(X/k,x,I) \to \mathsf{Rep}_k(G)$ which commutes with the fibre functors. In particular we could take G to be $\varprojlim_{i\in I} G_i$ with natural projections $t_i : \varprojlim_{i\in I} G_i \to G_i$. From the construction of ι in 2.4, we know that ι is the functor sending (V, i) to the induced representation of $\varprojlim_{i\in I} G_i$ on V via the projection t_i. It is enough to show that ι is an equivalence of categories.

ι is essentially surjective. Given any finite $\varprojlim_{i\in I} G_i$-representation V, since as a Hopf-algebra $\varprojlim_{i\in I} G_i$ is a direct limit of finite sub Hopf-algebras and $GL_k(V)$ is a group scheme of finite type, so the group homomorphism

$$\varprojlim_{i\in I} G_i \longrightarrow GL_k(V)$$

factors through some projection $\varprojlim_{i\in I} G_i \twoheadrightarrow G_i$ for some $i \in I$. Let V' be the k-vector space V equipped with the action $G_i \to GL_k(V)$. Then $(V', i) \in \mathfrak{C}(X/k, x, I)$ has the image $\iota(V', i) = V$.

ι is fully faithful. The faithfulness can be seen from the fact that ι commutes with the fibre functors and that the functors are all faithful. If we are given two objects $(V, i), (W, j) \in \mathfrak{C}(X/k, x, I)$ and a morphism $\varepsilon : \iota(V, i) \to \iota(W, j) \in \mathsf{Rep}_k(\varprojlim_{i\in I} G_i)$ then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & V \otimes_k \varinjlim_{i\in I} k[G_i] \arrow[d] \\
W \arrow[r] & W \otimes_k \varinjlim_{i\in I} k[G_i]
\end{tikzcd} .$$

Since W and V are all finite dimensional vector spaces we could factor the diagram through some $k[G_{i'}] \subseteq \varinjlim_{i\in I} k[G_i]$ for some i' "larger" than both i and j. This means we have an element $(\lambda, id) \in \mathrm{Hom}((V, i'), (W, i'))$ such that $\iota(\lambda, id) = \varepsilon$. But since

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(X/k,x,I)}((V, i'), (W, i')) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(X/k,x,I)}((V, i), (W, j))$$

ε lifts to a morphism in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(X/k,x,I)}((V, i), (W, j))$. □

3.2. **Comparisons.**

Definition 6. There are various choices of $I \subseteq N(X/k, x)$. We will list some of them which will be frequently used in the rest of this note.

1. $\pi^N(X/k, x) := \pi^I(X/k, x)$ when $I = N(X/k, x)$;
2. $\pi^E(X/k, x) := \pi^I(X/k, x)$ when $I \subseteq N(X/k, x)$ is the subcategory consisting of triples (P, G, p) where G is an étale group scheme over k;
3. $\pi^G(X/k, x) := \pi^I(X/k, x)$ when $I \subseteq N(X/k, x)$ is the subcategory consisting of triples (P, G, p) where G is a constant group scheme over k;
4. $\pi^L(X/k, x) := \pi^I(X/k, x)$ when $I \subseteq N(X/k, x)$ is the subcategory consisting of triples (P, G, p) where G is a local (or in other words connected) group scheme.

Remark 3.4. When $x : S \to X$ is taken to be a geometric point Spec $(\bar{k}) \hookrightarrow X$, $\pi^G(X/k, x)$ is a profinite affine group scheme whose k-points is just Grothendieck's étale fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, x)$. In other words, $\pi^G(X/k, x)$ is none other than a linearization of $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, x)$. In particular $\pi^G(k/k, x)(k) = \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let* $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq N(X/k,x)$ *be two filtered subcategories and* (P,G,p) *be an object in* I_1. *If for any imbedding*

$$(Q,H,q) \hookrightarrow (P,G,p) \in I_2$$

(i.e.$H \to G$ *is a closed imbedding),* (Q,H,q) *is also an object in* I_1*, then we have a surjection*

$$\pi^{I_2}(X/k,x) \twoheadrightarrow \pi^{I_1}(X/k,x).$$

Proof. Suppose $(P,G,p) \in I_1$ is an object such that the corresponding map

$$\pi^{I_1}(X/k,x) \to G$$

is surjective. Now we take the image of the composition

$$\pi^{I_2}(X/k,x) \to \pi^{I_1}(X/k,x) \to G$$

and denote it by H. This immediately gives us an inclusion $(Q,H,q) \hookrightarrow (P,G,p) \in I_2$. So by the assumption this inclusion lives in I_1. So it means that the surjection $\pi^{I_1}(X/k,x) \twoheadrightarrow G$ factors through $H \hookrightarrow G$. Thus $H = G$. This concludes the proof. ☐

Theorem 3.6. *Let* X *be a reduced connected scheme over a field* k, $x : S \to X$ *be a morphism of locally noetherian* k*-schemes. Then we have a sequence of surjections*

$$\pi^N(X/k,x) \twoheadrightarrow \pi^E(X/k,x) \twoheadrightarrow \pi^G(X/k,x)$$

and also a surjection

$$\pi^N(X/k,x) \twoheadrightarrow \pi^L(X/k,x).$$

Moreover, we have a surjection

$$\pi^N(X/k,x) \twoheadrightarrow \pi^E(X/k,x) \times_k \pi^L(X/k,x).$$

Proof. In the view of 3.5, only the last statement needs to be explained. For this, we take in 3.5 $I_2 := N(X/k,x)$ and I_1 to be the triples (P,G,p) whose group is canonically decomposed as a direct product $G = G^0 \times G_{\text{ét}}$ under the connected-étale sequence. ☐

Exemple 3.7. Here we want to point out that all the above surjections are, in general, not isomorphisms.

(1). For $\pi^E_G : \pi^E(X/k,x) \twoheadrightarrow \pi^G(X/k,x)$. This is already not an isomorphism when $X = \operatorname{Spec}(k) = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Q})$ and $x = (\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \bar{\mathbb{Q}})$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, and suppose $x^n - \alpha$ has no root in $\mathbb{Q}$, then $P := \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^n-\alpha))$ is a non-trivial μ_n-torsor over $\mathbb{Q}$. If the map π^E_G was an isomorphism then there must be a morphism $(Q,H,q) \to (P,\mu_n,p)$ where H is a constant group scheme over $\mathbb{Q}$ (see 3.1). But when, for example, n is a prime number, the map $\pi^E(X/k,x) \to \mu_n$ induced by (P,μ_n,p) (see 3.2) has to be surjective because P is a non-trivial torsor. This implies the map $H \to \mu_n$ is sujective. But then μ_n has to be a constant group scheme which is quite not the case when $n > 2$.

(2). For $\pi^N_E : \pi^N(X/k,x) \twoheadrightarrow \pi^E(X/k,x)$. If it was an isomorphism then any torsor with local group scheme will be dominated by an étale torsor, then the local torsor has to be trivial. Hence any non-trivial local torsor gives a counterexample. Yet we want to point out that if $X = \operatorname{Spec}(k)$ where k is perfect, and $x = (k \subseteq \bar{k})$, then π^N_E is an isomorphism

(see 5.1). But if k is not perfect and $\mathrm{char}(k) = p$, one can choose $\alpha \in \bar{k}$ such that $\alpha \notin k$ but $\alpha^p \in k$. Thus the field extension $k \subseteq k(\alpha)$ is a non-trivial μ_p-torsor.
(3). For $\pi^N_L : \pi^N(X/k, x) \twoheadrightarrow \pi^L(X/k, x)$. As in (2) any non-trivial étale torsor provides a counterexample. And also (3) is implied by (4).
(4). For $\pi^N(X/k, x) \twoheadrightarrow \pi^E(X/k, x) \times_k \pi^L(X/k, x)$. There is a perfect counterexample in [EPS][Remark 4.3].

4. The Study of the Étale Coverings

4.1. **The Fundamental Exact Sequence.** Let X be a reduced scheme geometrically connected of finite type over a perfect field k, $\bar{x} : \bar{k} \to X$ be a geometric point, $\bar{X} := X \times_k \bar{k}$.

Theorem 4.1. *If X is a reduced scheme geometrically connected of finite type over a perfect field k, $\bar{x} : \mathrm{Spec}\,(\bar{k}) \hookrightarrow X$, then there is a natural exact sequence of k-group schemes*

$$1 \to \pi^E(X \times_k \bar{k}/k, \bar{x}) \to \pi^E(X/k, \bar{x}) \to \pi^E(k/k, \bar{x}) \to 1.$$

Proof. By 4.4 the sequence is exact on the left and by 4.5 the sequence is exact on the right, so we only have to prove the exactness in the middle.

Since X is noetherian, any object and any morphsim in $\mathfrak{C}(\bar{X}/k, \bar{x})$ is actually defined on some $X \times_k k'$, where k' is a finite Galois extension of k. This allows us to apply Galois descent.

Now suppose we have $(P, G, p) \in N(X/k, \bar{x})$ with G étale and suppose that the corresponding map

$$\pi^E(X/k, x) \to G$$

is surjective. Let $\bar{G} := G \times_k \bar{k}$, $\bar{P} := P \times_k \bar{k}$, Q_p be the connected component of $\bar{P}$ containing p. Let $\bar{H} \subseteq \bar{G}$ be the subgroup which fixes Q_p, i.e.

$$\bar{H} := \{\ g \in \bar{G} \mid (Q_p)g = Q_p\ \}$$

and $\bar{N} \subseteq \bar{G}$ be the smallest subgroup which contains the set

$$\bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)} \{\sigma(\bar{H})\} \subseteq \bar{G}.$$

Let $\bar{P}'$ be the union of the connected components of $\bar{P}$ which contain at least one element in $\bar{N}(p)$ (the orbit of p under $\bar{N}$). There is an action of $\bar{N}$ on $\bar{P}'$ inherited from the action of $\bar{G}$ on $\bar{P}$ which makes $\bar{P}'$ a torsor under $\bar{N}$.

Now let T be the subset of $\bar{G}$ whose elements are those which send p to $\sigma(p)$ for some $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$. Let $\bar{M}$ be the smallest subgroup of $\bar{G}$ containing T. Since for any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ and $t_\tau \in T$ sending $p \mapsto \tau(p)$ we have $\sigma(t_\tau) \circ t_\sigma = t_{\sigma\tau}$. So $\sigma(t_\tau) = t_{\sigma\tau} \circ t_\sigma^{-1} \in \bar{M}$, then it follows that $\sigma(\bar{M}) \subseteq \bar{M}$. Let $\bar{M}\bar{N} \subseteq \bar{G}$ be the smallest subgroup of $\bar{G}$ containing $\bar{M}$ and $\bar{N}$. Let $\bar{P}''$ be the union of the connected components of $\bar{P}$ which contain at least one element in $\bar{M}\bar{N}(p)$ (the orbit of p). Then $\bar{P}''$ is a torsor under $\bar{M}\bar{N}$. But since both $\bar{P}''$ and $\bar{M}\bar{N}$ are stable under the Galois action the torsor descends to k. Let $MN \subseteq G$ be the model of $\bar{M}\bar{N}$. Then there is a homomorphism

$$\pi^E(X/k, x) \to MN \subseteq G.$$

But $\pi^E(X/k,x) \to G$ is already surjective by the assumption, this immediately implies that $MN = G$ or equivalently $\bar{M}\bar{N} = \bar{G}$.

Next we show that $\bar{N}$ is a normal subgroup of $\bar{G}$. From the above discussion it is enough to check $m\bar{H}m^{-1} \subseteq \bar{N}$ for $\forall m \in M$. In fact it is already enough to check $t\bar{H}t^{-1} \subseteq \bar{N}$ for $\forall t \in T$. Suppose t^{-1} sends $p \mapsto \sigma(p)$, then for any $h \in \bar{H}$, $\sigma(p)tht^{-1}$ lies in the same connected component as $\sigma(p)$ does. Thus $\sigma(p)tht^{-1} = \sigma(p')$ for some $p' \in Q_p(\bar{k})$. Suppose $p' = ph'$ for some $h' \in \bar{H}$. Then we have

$$\sigma(p)tht^{-1} = \sigma(p') = \sigma(ph') = \sigma(p)\sigma(h').$$

Thus $tht^{-1} = \sigma(h') \in \bar{N}$.

Let N be the model of $\bar{N}$ over k. Then clearly $N \subseteq G$ is also a normal subgroup. Now the quotient P/N is a G/N-torsor over X, and by taking $\bar{N}$-quotient for the imbedding $\bar{P}' \subseteq \bar{P}$ we get a section

$$\bar{X} \cong \bar{P}'/\bar{N} \subseteq \bar{P}/\bar{N}.$$

This means P/N becomes a trivial torsor after pulling back to $\bar{X}$. Applying 4.6 we know that there is a G/N-torsor $l \to k$ such that the pull-back $X \times_k l \to X$ is isomorphic to P/N as G/N-torsors.

Now suppose we have $(V,P) \in \mathfrak{C}(X/k,\bar{x})$. For any object

$$(Q, G_Q, q) \subseteq (\bar{P}, G, p) \in N(\bar{X}/k, \bar{x})$$

with G_Q étale, $\bar{H}$ must be contained in $\bar{G}_Q$. Thus $\bar{N} \subseteq \bar{G}_Q$ or equivalently $N \subseteq G_Q$. Hence the group homomorphism

$$\pi^E(\bar{X}/k,\bar{x}) \to N$$

induced by the triple $(\bar{P}', N, p)$ is surjective. So it follows that

$$(V^N, \bar{P}') \subseteq (V, \bar{P}) \in \mathfrak{C}(\bar{X}/k,\bar{x})$$

is the maximal trivial subobject. It is clear that if we pull back $(V^N, P/N) \cong (V^N, P) \in \mathfrak{C}(X/k,\bar{x})$ to $\bar{k}$ there is an isomorphism

$$(V^N, \bar{P}/\bar{N}) \cong (V^N, \bar{P}) \cong (V^N, \bar{P}') \in \mathfrak{C}(\bar{X}/k,\bar{x}).$$

But at the same time, $(V^N, P/N)$ is the pull-back of $(V^N, l) \in \mathfrak{C}(k/k,\bar{x})$. This checks the conditions (a) and (b) in [EPS][Appendix Theorem A.1 (3)], and (c) has been checked in 4.3, so we get the exact sequence. □

Remark 4.2. In the proof 4.1 we could take only triples with constant groups, everything still works as well (in fact even much simpler). Thus the proof yields a new explanation of the fundamental exact sequence of the étale fundamental group [SGA1][Exposé IX,Théorème 6.1]. It's just that here we use the group scheme π^G instead of the abstract group $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}$ and that we have to assume X is of finite type.

Proposition 4.3. *The natural functor*

$$p^* : \mathfrak{C}(X/k,\bar{x}) \to \mathfrak{C}(\bar{X}/k,\bar{x})$$

satisfies the following property: for any $(V, P) \in \mathfrak{C}(\bar{X}/k, \bar{x})$ *there exists an object* $(W, Q) \in \mathfrak{C}(X/k, \bar{x})$ *and an injection*

$$(V, P) \hookrightarrow p^*(W, Q).$$

Proof. Let $k \subseteq l$ be a Galois field extension such that $P \to \bar{X} = X \times_k \bar{k}$ is defined over $X \times_k l$. So we have a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & P_l \arrow[d] \\
X \times_k \bar{k} \arrow[r] & X \times_k l
\end{tikzcd} .$$

By the theory of Galois closure [Gar][Theorem 1, Theorem 2] we have an object $Q \in \mathfrak{C}(X/k, \bar{x})$ fits into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Q \arrow[rr] \arrow[dr, "\circlearrowleft\ \phi"] \arrow[ddr, "G_Q\ \circlearrowleft"'] & & P_l \arrow[dl, "\circlearrowleft\ G_p"] \\
& X \times_k l \arrow[d, "\circlearrowleft\ \mathrm{Gal}(l/k)"] & \\
& X &
\end{tikzcd} ,$$

where $G_Q \cong \phi \rtimes \mathrm{Gal}(l/k)$, and all the arrows starting from Q are morphisms of torsors. By pulling-back to $\bar{k}$ we get the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Q \times_l \bar{k} \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, "\circlearrowleft\ \phi"] & Q \times_k \bar{k} \arrow[d, "\circlearrowleft\ \phi \rtimes \mathrm{Gal}(l/k)"] \\
P \arrow[r, "\circlearrowleft\ G_P"'] & X \times_k \bar{k}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Note that the vector space $W := V \otimes_k l$ has a natural action from $G_P \times_k \mathrm{Gal}(l/k)$. This defines an object

$$(W, Q) := (V \otimes_k l, Q) \in \mathfrak{C}(X/k, \bar{x})$$

via the natural homomorphism

$$\phi \rtimes \mathrm{Gal}(l/k) \to G_P \rtimes \mathrm{Gal}(l/k) = G_P \times \mathrm{Gal}(l/k).$$

Now we pull back $p^*(W, Q)$ along $Q \times_l \bar{k} \to Q \times_k \bar{k}$ and (V, P) along $Q \times_l \bar{k} \to P$. There we get a ϕ-invariant embedding $V \to V \otimes_k l$. So we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(V, Q \times_l \bar{k}) \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & (W, Q \times_l \bar{k}) \arrow[d, "\cong"] \\
(V, P) \arrow[r, hook] & p^*(W, Q)
\end{tikzcd} .$$

This finishes the proof. □

Corollary 4.4. *For any X geometrically connected geometrically separable and of finite type over a perfect field k, $\bar{x} : \mathrm{Spec}\,(\bar{k}) \hookrightarrow X$ a geometric point, the natural k-group scheme homomorphisms*

$$\pi^N(X \times_k \bar{k}/k, \bar{x}) \longrightarrow \pi^N(X/k, \bar{x})$$
$$\pi^E(X \times_k \bar{k}/k, \bar{x}) \longrightarrow \pi^E(X/k, \bar{x})$$
$$\pi^L(X \times_k \bar{k}/k, \bar{x}) \longrightarrow \pi^L(X/k, \bar{x})$$
$$\pi^G(X \times_k \bar{k}/k, \bar{x}) \longrightarrow \pi^G(X/k, \bar{x})$$

are injective.

Proof. The injectivity of the first and the fourth group homomorphism are direct consequences of 4.3 and [EPS][Appendix Theorem A.1 (ii)]. For the second and the third, let us take $I \subseteq N(X/k, \bar{x})$ to the subcategory consisting of triples whose groups are split: $G = G^0 \times G_{\text{ét}}$, or in other words the action of the étale piece of G on the local piece of G is trivial. Then by applying the argument in 4.3 and loc.cit. one gets an injection

$$\pi^I(X \times_k \bar{k}/k, \bar{x}) \longrightarrow \pi^I(X/k, \bar{x}).$$

But note that $\pi^I(-, \bar{x}) = \pi^L(-, \bar{x}) \times_k \pi^E(-, \bar{x})$. This implies the injectivity of the second and the third homomorphisms. $\square$

Lemma 4.5. *For any X locally noetherian scheme geometrically connected separable over a field k, $\bar{x} : \mathrm{Spec}\,(\bar{k}) \hookrightarrow X$ a geometric point, the natural k-group scheme homomorphism*

$$\pi^E(X/k, \bar{x}) \to \pi^E(k/k, \bar{x})$$

is surjective.

Proof. Let $I_{\text{ét}} \subseteq N(k/k, \bar{x})$ be the full subcategory consisting of triples whose groups are étale. Suppose that we have an object $(\mathrm{Spec}\,(l), G, t) \in N(k/k, \bar{x}, I_{\text{ét}})$ and that we have a morphism

$$(Q, H, s) \to (l \times_k X, G, t) \in N(X/k, \bar{x}, I_{\text{ét}}),$$

where the group homomorphism $H \to G$ is an imbedding of subgroup. Since $H \subseteq G$ is both open and closed the map $Q \to l \times_k X$ is also a both open and closed imbedding. Therefore Q is the disjoint union of some connected components of $l \times_k X$. Since X is geometrically connected Q is actually the pull-back of an open subscheme $\mathrm{Spec}\,(l') \subseteq \mathrm{Spec}\,(l)$. Since the map $Q \times_k H \subseteq (l \times_k X) \times_k H \to l \times_k X$ factors through $Q \subseteq l \times_k X$, it follows that the map $l' \times_k H \subseteq l \times_k H \to l$ factors through $\mathrm{Spec}\,(l') \subseteq \mathrm{Spec}\,(l)$. Hence $(\mathrm{Spec}\,(l'), H, t) \in N(k/k, \bar{x}, I_{\text{ét}})$ and we have a morphism

$$(\mathrm{Spec}\,(l'), H, t) \to (\mathrm{Spec}\,(l), G, t) \in N(k/k, \bar{x}, I_{\text{ét}}).$$

This implies whenever $\pi^E(k/k, \bar{x}) \to G$ is surjective the composition

$$\pi^E(X/k, \bar{x}) \to \pi^E(k/k, \bar{x}) \to G$$

is surjective. This means precisely that $\pi^E(X/k, \bar{x}) \to \pi^E(k/k, \bar{x})$ is surjective. $\square$

Lemma 4.6. *Let X be a noetherian geometrically connected scheme over a perfect field k, G be a finite étale group scheme over k, P be a G-torsor in FPQC-topology over X. Let $\bar{P} := P \times_k \bar{k}$, $\bar{X} := X \times_k \bar{k}$. Assume that $\bar{P}$ is a trivial G-torsor over $\bar{X}$, then there is a G-torsor l over k whose pull-back along $X \to k$ is P.*

Proof. Consider the isomorphism of $\bar{X}$-schemes:

$$\bar{\rho} : (G \times_k \bar{X}) \times_k G \longrightarrow (G \times_k \bar{X}) \times_{\bar{X}} (G \times_k \bar{X})$$

$$(\ x,\ g\ ) \quad \mapsto \quad (\ x,\ xg\ ).$$

From the assumption the $\bar{X}$-scheme $G \times_k \bar{X}$ is equipped with an action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ in such a way that it descends to the X-scheme P and $\bar{\rho}$ is $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$-invariant. Let $\bar{G} := G \times_k \bar{k}$. For any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ we have an isomorphism of schemes t_σ:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bar{G} \times_{\bar{k}} \bar{X} \arrow[r, "t_\sigma"] \arrow[d] & \bar{G} \times_{\bar{k}} \bar{X} \arrow[d] \\
\bar{X} \arrow[r, "id \times \sigma"] \arrow[d] & \bar{X} \arrow[d] \\
\bar{k} \arrow[r, "\sigma"] & \bar{k}
\end{tikzcd}$$

making the diagram commutative. Since $\bar{X}$ is connected t_σ defines a morphism r_σ:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bar{G} \arrow[r, "r_\sigma"] \arrow[d] & \bar{G} \arrow[d] \\
\bar{k} \arrow[r, "\sigma"] & \bar{k}
\end{tikzcd}$$

making the diagram commutative. Thus $\bar{G}$ is equipped with a $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$-action in such a way that it desends to a k-scheme l. Because $\bar{\rho}$ is $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$-invariant, the right translation $\bar{G} \times_{\bar{k}} \bar{G}' \to \bar{G}$ is also $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$-invariant, where $\bar{G}' \cong \bar{G}$ as $\bar{k}$-schemes but is equipped with the $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$-action in such a way that it descends to G. Thus by Galois descent we have an isomorphism of schemes

$$\rho_l : l \times_k G \longrightarrow l \times_k l$$

whose pull-back is the right translation of $\bar{G}$. Hence l is a G-torsor over k. Moreover the scheme $X \times_k l$ carries the same Galois action as P does when we pull them back to $\bar{X}$. Thus we have an isomorphism $X \times_k l \xrightarrow{\cong} P$ fitting into:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(X \times_k l) \times_k G \arrow[r, "X \times_k \rho_l"] \arrow[d] & (X \times_k l) \times_X (X \times_k l)\ . \arrow[d] \\
P \times_k G \arrow[r, "\cong"] & P \times_X P
\end{tikzcd}$$

This completes the proof. □

4.2. Base Change.

Proposition 4.7. *Let X be a locally noetherian scheme geometrically connected separable over a field k, $k \subseteq l \subseteq l'$ be a sequence of field extensions, where l and l' are algebraically closed fields. Let $\bar{x} : \mathrm{Spec}\,(l') \to X$ be a geometric point. Then the following natural map*

$$\pi_l^{l'} : \pi^E(X \times_k l'/k, \bar{x}) \longrightarrow \pi^E(X \times_k l/k, \bar{x})$$

is an isomorphism of k-group schemes.

Proof. Let $Y' \to X \times_k l'$ be a G'-torsor with a fixed point $\mathrm{Spec}\,(l') \to Y'$ lying over $\bar{x}$. By [SGA1, Exposé X, Corollaire 1.7],

$$\pi^G(X \times_k l'/k, \bar{x}) \cong \pi^G(X \times_k l/k, \bar{x}).$$

Thus by [SGA1, Exposé V,Théorème 4.1], the base change functor $- \times_l l'$ induces an equivalence of categories between the finite étale coverings $\mathrm{ECov}(X \times_k l)$ and $\mathrm{ECov}(X \times_k l')$. Thus there is a finite étale covering $Y \to X \times_k l$ such that $Y \times_l l' = Y'$. Now from the fully faithfulness of $\mathrm{ECov}(X \times_k l) \to \mathrm{ECov}(X \times_k l')$ and the fact that $G \times_k l$ and $G \times_k l'$ are constant group schemes, the action

$$Y \times_l l' \times_k G = Y' \times_k G \to Y' = Y \times_l l'$$

descends to an action $Y \times_k G \to Y$ and makes Y a G-torsor. This means that the pull back functor

$$F_{l'}^l : N(X \times_k l/k, \bar{x}) \to N(X \times_k l'/k, \bar{x})$$

is essentially surjective. But by the fully faithfulness of $\mathrm{ECov}(X \times_k l) \to \mathrm{ECov}(X \times_k l')$ the pull back functor $F_{l'}^l$ is also fully faithful. Hence $F_{l'}^l$ is an equivalence, and therefore the canonical morphism $\pi_l^{l'}$ is an isomorphism. ☐

4.3. The Étale Universal Covering.

In this subsection we want to emphasize a big difference between $\pi^E(X/k, x)$ and $\pi^G(X/k, x)$ via comparing their universal coverings. It is well known (or easily seen) that for most interesting connected locally noehterian schemes (e.g. affine or normal) the universal coverings under the étale fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}$ are connected. The major reason for this phenomenon is the following:

Fact. If X is a locally noetherian connected scheme, $x : S \to X$ an S-point with S non-empty, then for any triple (P, G, p) with G an abstract group, P an FPQC G-torsor, $p : S \to P$ an S-point over x, the canonical map $\pi^G(X, x) \to G$ is surjective if and only if P is connected.

But this is far from being true for universal coverings under π^E. Here is a simple example:

Exemple 4.8. Let $X = \mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathbb{Q})$, $\bar{x} : \mathbb{Q} \subseteq \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. Consider a prime number $p > 2$. Then μ_p is a scheme with two topological points $\{e, a\}$. Let (μ_p, μ_p, a) be a trivial μ_p-torsor which is equipped with a non-trivial point $q : \mathrm{Spec}\,\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \to a$. Obviously μ_p is not connected, but the unique map

$$(\widetilde{X_x}, \pi^E(X/k, \bar{x}), \tilde{x}) \to (\mu_p, \mu_p, q)$$

can not be trivial on the $\pi^E(X/k,\bar{x}) \to \mu_p$, for otherwise it factors through the trivial triple $(X,\{1\},\bar{x})$ then q has to be the trivial point. But if $\pi^E(X/k,\bar{x}) \to \mu_p$ is non-trivial it has to be surjective instead. Note that this also shows that $\widetilde{X_x}$ is not connected. Since $\pi^E(X/k,\bar{x}) \to \mu_p$ is surjective, $\widetilde{X_x} \to \mu_p$ must be FPQC as well. But μ_p is not connected so $\widetilde{X_x}$ can not be either.

4.4. More Comparison between π^G and π^E.

Proposition 4.9. *If X is geometrically connected separable over k, $\bar{x} = (\mathrm{Spec}\,(\bar{k}) \hookrightarrow X)$, and if the projection $X \to k$ factors the inclusion $k \subseteq \bar{k}$, then we have an immbedding*

$$\pi^E(X/\bar{k},\bar{x}) = \pi^G(X/\bar{k},\bar{x}) = \pi^G(X/k,\bar{x}) \times_k \bar{k} \hookrightarrow \pi^E(X/k,\bar{x}) \times_k \bar{k}$$

of $\bar{k}-$group schemes which serves as a section of the canonical map

$$\pi^E_G \times_k \bar{k} : \pi^E(X/k,\bar{x}) \times_k \bar{k} \twoheadrightarrow \pi^G(X/k,\bar{x}) \times_k \bar{k}.$$

Proof. Let $I_{\text{ét}} \subseteq N(X/k,\bar{x})$ be the full subcategory of triples whose groups are étale and $I_C \subseteq N(X/k,\bar{x})$ be the full subcategory whose groups are constant. Given $(P,G,p) \in I_{\text{ét}}$, let G' be the abstract group associated to $G \times_k \bar{k}$ then (P,G',p) is an object in I_C. In this way we get a functor $I_{\text{ét}} \to I_C$. This defines a homomorphism $\pi^G(X/k,\bar{x}) \times_k \bar{k} \to \pi^E(X/k,\bar{x}) \times_k \bar{k}$ which is easily seen as a section of π^E_G. □

5. The Study of the Infinitesimal Coverings

5.1. The study over a perfect field.

Proposition 5.1. *Let k be a perfect field then the natural surjection*

$$\pi^N(k/k,\bar{x}) \longrightarrow \pi^E(k/k,\bar{x})$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Suppose $(P,G,p) \in N(k/k,\bar{x})$. We have an isomorphism $P \times_k G \cong P \times_k P$. Let P_{red} be the reduced closed subscheme of P and G_{red} be the reduced closed subscheme of G. Because k is perfect,

$$P_{\text{red}} \times_k G_{\text{red}} \subseteq P \times_k G \qquad \text{and} \qquad P_{\text{red}} \times_k P_{\text{red}} \subseteq P \times_k P$$

are the unique reduced closed subschemes of the underlying spaces. This induces a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} P_{\text{red}} \times_k G_{\text{red}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & P_{\text{red}} \times_k P_{\text{red}} \arrow[d] \\ P \times_k G \arrow[r, "\cong"] & P \times_k P \end{tikzcd}$$

in which the upper horizontal arrow is an isomorphism. But G_{red} is étale since k is perfect. So in this way we get a functor

$$N(k/k,\bar{x}) \longrightarrow N(k/k,\bar{x},I_{\text{ét}})$$

$$(P,G,p) \mapsto (P_{\text{red}},G_{\text{red}},p)$$

which induces a group homomorphism $\pi^E(k/k,\bar{x}) \to \pi^N(k/k,\bar{x})$. And this group homomorphism is the inverse of the nature surjection $\pi^N(k/k,\bar{x}) \twoheadrightarrow \pi^E(k/k,\bar{x})$. This finishes the proof. ☐

Corollary 5.2. *Assumptions and notations being as in 5.1, we have*

$$\pi^L(k/k,\bar{x}) = \{1\}.$$

Proof. Suppose $(P,G,p) \in N(k/k,\bar{x})$, where G is a connected group scheme. Then by the proof in 5.1 we know that $(P_{\mathrm{red}},G_{\mathrm{red}},p)$ dominates (P,G,p). But since G is connected, $(P_{\mathrm{red}},G_{\mathrm{red}},p)$ is just the trivial triple. This finishes the proof. ☐

Theorem 5.3. *Let X be a scheme geometrically connected separable and of finite type over a perfect field k. Let $\bar{x} : \mathrm{Spec}\,(\bar{k}) \to X$ be a geometric point. Then the canonical map of affine k-group schemes*

$$\pi_k^{\bar{k}} : \pi^L(\bar{X}/k,\bar{x}) \to \pi^L(X/k,\bar{x})$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. We have already known that the map is injective 4.4, so we only have to show the surjectivity. Now suppose we have $(P,G,p) \in N(X/k,\bar{x})$ with G local and suppose that the corresponding map

$$\pi^L(X/k,x) \to G$$

is surjective. We take the image of the composition

$$\pi^L(\bar{X}/k,\bar{x}) \to \pi^L(X/k,\bar{x}) \to G,$$

and denote it by H. By definition there is $(\bar{Q},H,q) \in N(\bar{X}/k,\bar{x})$ with H local such that $(\bar{Q},H,q)$ dominates $(\bar{P},G,p)$.

Since $H \subseteq G$ is a infinitesimal closed imbedding, so by 5.5 we have $(Q,H,q) \in N(X/k,\bar{x})$ which dominates (P,G,p). So the surjection

$$\pi^L(X/k,x) \twoheadrightarrow G$$

factors through the imbedding $H \subseteq G$. Hence $H = G$. This means $\pi_k^{\bar{k}}$ is surjective too. This concludes the proof of the theorem. ☐

Corollary 5.4. *Let X be a scheme geometrically connected separable and of finite type over a perfect field k. For any flat G-torsor $\bar{P}$ over $\bar{X} := X \times_k \bar{k}$ with G a finite local k-group scheme, there exists a G-torsor P over X, unique up to isomorphism, such that $P \times_k \bar{k}$ is isomorphic to $\bar{P}$ as G-torsors.*

Proof. Choose $\bar{x} : \mathrm{Spec}\,(\bar{k}) \hookrightarrow X$ and a lifting $p : \mathrm{Spec}\,(\bar{k}) \hookrightarrow \bar{P}$ of $\bar{x}$. The triple $(\bar{P},G,p)$ corresponds uniquely to a k-group scheme homomorphism $\phi : \pi^L(\bar{X}/k,\bar{x}) \to G$. But by 5.3 the canonical map $\pi^L(\bar{X}/k,\bar{x}) \to \pi^L(X/k,\bar{x})$ is an isomorphism ϕ, so it induces a unique morphism φ which completes the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi^L(\bar{X}/k,\bar{x}) \arrow[d, "\cong"'] \arrow[dr, "\phi"] & \\
 & G \\
\pi^L(X/k,\bar{x}) \arrow[ur, dashed, "\varphi"'] &
\end{tikzcd}.$$

The map φ corresponds uniquely to a triple $(P,G,p)\in N(X/k,\bar{x})$ whose pull back is $(\bar{P},G,p)$. Since G is local (P,G) does not depend on the choice of $\bar{x}$ and p. This completes the proof. ☐

Lemma 5.5. *Let X be a locally noetherian geometrically connected scheme separable over a perfect field k. Let P be a G-torsor over X in FPQC-topology, where G is a finite group scheme over k. Let $H\subseteq G$ be a subgroup scheme whose defining ideal sheaf is nilpotent. Suppose there is an H-torsor $\bar{Q}$ over $\bar{X}:=X\times_k\bar{k}$ such that $(\bar{Q},H)$ dominates $(\bar{P},G)$, then there exists an H-torsor Q over X such that (Q,H) dominates (P,G).*

Proof. Since $H\subseteq G$ is a infinitesimal closed imbedding, so is $\bar{Q}\subseteq\bar{P}$. Now by taking quotient by H on both sides we get

$$\bar{X}\cong\bar{Q}/H\to\bar{P}/H.$$

So $\bar{P}/H$ has a section from $\bar{X}$, and the imbedding $\bar{X}\hookrightarrow\bar{P}/H$ is still an infinitesimal one. In other words $\bar{X}$ is the unique reduced closed subscheme of $(\bar{P}/H)_{\mathrm{red}}\subseteq\bar{P}/H$. Because k is perfect, we have

$$(\bar{P}/H)_{\mathrm{red}}=(P/H)_{\mathrm{red}}\times_k\bar{k}\hookrightarrow(P/H)\times_k\bar{k}=\bar{P}/H.$$

Since the structure morphism $(\bar{P}/H)_{\mathrm{red}}\to\bar{X}$ is an isomorphism $(P/H)_{\mathrm{red}}\to X$ is an isomorphism too. In this way we get a section s for P/H as an X-scheme. Now we can form the cartesian square:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Q \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & X \arrow[d, "s"] \\
P \arrow[r] & P/H
\end{tikzcd}.$$

In this way Q naturally becomes an H-torsor which dominates P. ☐

Proposition 5.6. *Let X be an abelian variety over a perfect field k. Let $\bar{x}:\mathrm{Spec}\,(\bar{k})\to X$ be identity point. Then the natural sequence of k-group scheme homomorphisms*

$$1\to\pi^N(\bar{X}/k,\bar{x})\to\pi^N(X/k,\bar{x})\to\pi^N(k/k,\bar{x})\to 1$$

is exact.

Proof. By 4.4 4.5 3.6 and 5.1 we have the left injectivity and the right surjectivity, so the only thing left is the middle exactness. Suppose we have $(P,G,p)\in N(X/k,\bar{x})$ and suppose that the corresponding map

$$\pi^N(X/k,\bar{x})\to G$$

is surjective. Now we take the image of the composition

$$\pi^N(\bar{X}/k,\bar{x})\to\pi^N(X/k,\bar{x})\to G,$$

and denote it by H.

First we want to claim that $H\hookrightarrow G$ is an open immersion. This is equivalent to saying that the imbedding of connected components $i:H^0\hookrightarrow G^0$ is an isomorphism.

From [Nori2] we know that there exists an isogeny

$$0\to\bar{X}[n]\to\bar{X}\xrightarrow{\cdot n}\bar{X}\to 0$$

which dominates $(\bar{P},\bar{G},\bar{p})$ in the category $N(\bar{X}/\bar{k},\bar{x})$. Now we take $\bar{N}\subseteq\bar{G}$ to be the image of $\bar{X}[n]\to\bar{G}$. Then we get a triple $(\bar{Q},\bar{N},\bar{q})$ which dominates $(\bar{P},\bar{G},\bar{p})$ in $N(\bar{X}/\bar{k},\bar{x})$. Note that from our construction $\bar{Q}$ is an integral scheme and the set-theoric image of $\bar{Q}$ in $\bar{P}$ is a connected component which is denoted by $\bar{P}^0$. So $\bar{Q}\subseteq\bar{P}^0$ is the unique reduced closed subscheme $\bar{P}^0_{\mathrm{red}}\subseteq\bar{P}^0$.

Since k is perfect $G=G^0\rtimes G_{\text{ét}}$, and we have

$$\bar{N}=\bar{N}^0\rtimes\bar{N}_{\text{ét}}\subseteq\bar{G}^0\rtimes\bar{G}_{\text{ét}}=\bar{G}.$$

We claim that the action of $\bar{G}_{\text{ét}}$ on $\bar{G}^0$ stabilizes $\bar{N}^0\subseteq\bar{G}^0$, so the subscheme

$$\bar{N}^0\rtimes\bar{G}_{\text{ét}}\subseteq\bar{G}^0\rtimes\bar{G}_{\text{ét}}$$

is actually a subgroup scheme. This can be seen as follows:

if $\{g_i\}_{i=1\cdots r}$ are representitives of the right coset $\bar{N}_{\text{ét}}\backslash\bar{G}_{\text{ét}}$, then for any X-scheme T, any $s\in(\bar{Q}g_i)(T)$ and $a\in\bar{N}^0(T)$, sa is the map

$$T\xrightarrow{(s,a)}\bar{Q}g_i\times_{\bar{k}}\bar{N}^0\subseteq\bar{P}^0g_i\times_{\bar{k}}\bar{G}^0\to\bar{P}^0g_i.$$

But since the map $sg_i^{-1}a$:

$$T\xrightarrow{(sg_i^{-1},a)}\bar{Q}\times_{\bar{k}}\bar{N}^0\subseteq\bar{P}^0\times_{\bar{k}}\bar{G}^0\to\bar{P}^0$$

factors through the reduced closed subscheme $\bar{Q}=\bar{P}^0_{\mathrm{red}}\subseteq\bar{P}^0$, so the map

$$(sg_i^{-1},a):T\to\bar{Q}\times_{\bar{k}}\bar{N}^0$$

also factors the reduced closed subscheme $\bar{Q}=(\bar{Q}\times_{\bar{k}}\bar{N}^0)_{\mathrm{red}}\subseteq\bar{Q}\times_{\bar{k}}\bar{N}^0$. From the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \bar{Q}\times_{\bar{k}}\bar{N}^0 \arrow[dd, "\cong"', "g_i\times id"] \\
T \arrow[ur, "(sg_i^{-1},a)"] \arrow[dr, "(s,a)"'] & \\
 & \bar{Q}g_i\times_{\bar{k}}\bar{N}^0
\end{tikzcd}$$

it is clear that $(s,a):T\to\bar{Q}g_i\times_{\bar{k}}\bar{N}^0$ factors through the reduced closed subscheme $\bar{Q}g_i=(\bar{Q}g_i\times_{\bar{k}}\bar{N}^0)_{\mathrm{red}}\subseteq\bar{Q}g_i\times_{\bar{k}}\bar{N}^0$. Hence we know that $sa:T\to g_i\bar{P}^0$ factors through

$\bar{Q}g_i \subseteq \bar{P}^0 g_i$, or in other words $sa \in (\bar{Q}g_i)(T)$. Since $\bar{Q}g_i$ is a torsor under $g_i^{-1}\bar{N}g_i$, we have $\bar{N}^0 \subseteq g_i^{-1}\bar{N}g_i$, and hence

$$\bar{N}^0 = g_i^{-1}\bar{N}^0 g_i.$$

This proves that the action of $\bar{G}_{\text{ét}}$ on $\bar{G}^0$ stabilizes $\bar{N}^0 \subseteq \bar{G}^0$.

Now the subscheme

$$\coprod_{g\in\{g_i\}_{i=1\cdots r}} \bar{Q}g \quad = \bar{P}_{\text{red}} \subseteq \bar{P}$$

is a torsor under the subgroup

$$\bar{N}' := \bar{N}^0 \rtimes_{\bar{k}} \bar{G}_{\text{ét}} \subseteq \bar{G}^0 \rtimes_{\bar{k}} \bar{G}_{\text{ét}} = \bar{G}.$$

And furthermore the subgroup $\bar{N}'$ is stabilized by the $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$-action on $\bar{G}$. In fact, since $\bar{P}_{\text{red}} \subseteq \bar{P}$ is stabilized by the $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)-$action, so is $\bar{P}_{\text{red}} \times_{\bar{X}} \bar{P}_{\text{red}} \subseteq \bar{P} \times_{\bar{X}} \bar{P}$. Hence for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ there is a map τ which completes the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bar{P}_{\text{red}} \times_{\bar{k}} \bar{N}' \arrow[r, "\tau"] \arrow[d, hook] & \bar{P}_{\text{red}} \times_{\bar{k}} \bar{N}' \arrow[d, hook] \\
\bar{P} \times_{\bar{k}} \bar{G} \arrow[r, "\sigma\times\sigma"] & \bar{P} \times_{\bar{k}} \bar{G}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let p_2 be the projection $\bar{P}_{\text{red}} \times_{\bar{k}} \bar{N}' \to \bar{N}'$. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bar{P}_{\text{red}} \times_{\bar{k}} \bar{N}' \arrow[rr, two heads, "p_2\circ\tau"] \arrow[d, two heads, "p_2"] & & \bar{N}' \arrow[d, hook] \\
\bar{N}' \arrow[r, hook] & \bar{G} \arrow[r, "\sigma"] & \bar{G}
\end{tikzcd}$$

This implies that $\bar{N}'$ is stabilized by the Galois action on $\bar{G}$.

Since $\bar{P}_{\text{red}}$ and $\bar{N}'$ are all stable under the action of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ they descent to a $N'-$torsor P_{red} on X, where $N' \subseteq G$ is a subgroup scheme and $P_{\text{red}} \subseteq P$ is the reduced closed subscheme structrue of P. But by the assumption $\pi^N(X/k,\bar{x})$ surjects to G so this implies $N' = G$. Hence $\bar{N}^0 = \bar{G}^0$. Because $\bar{N} \subseteq \bar{G}$ is the image of $\pi^N(\bar{X}/\bar{k},\bar{x}) \to \bar{G}$, so from the sequence

$$\pi^N(\bar{X}/\bar{k},\bar{x}) \to \pi^N(\bar{X}/k,\bar{x}) \times_k \bar{k} \to \pi^N(X/k,\bar{x}) \times_k \bar{k} \twoheadrightarrow \bar{G}$$

we get $\bar{N} \subseteq \bar{H} \subseteq \bar{G}$. Hence the imbedding $i : \bar{H}^0 \hookrightarrow \bar{G}^0$ is an isomorphism.

By 4.1, $H_{\text{ét}} \subseteq G_{\text{ét}}$ is a normal group so is

$$H = H^0 \rtimes_k H_{\text{ét}} = G^0 \rtimes_k H_{\text{ét}} \subseteq G^0 \rtimes_k G_{\text{ét}} = G.$$

Then the G/H-torsor P/H is an étale torsor. By applying 4.6 we know that P/H is from a G/H-torsor l on k by base change.

Now suppose we have $(V,P)\in\mathfrak{C}(X/k,\bar{x})$. Let $(\bar{P}',H,p)\subseteq(\bar{P},G,p)$ be the inclusion induced by

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi^N(\bar{X}/k,\bar{x}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, two heads] & \pi^N(X/k,\bar{x}) \arrow[d, two heads] \\
H \arrow[r, hook] & G
\end{tikzcd}$$

Because H is the image of $\pi^N(\bar{X}/k,\bar{x})$ in G

$$(V^H,\bar{P}')\subseteq(V,\bar{P})\in\mathfrak{C}(\bar{X}/k,\bar{x})$$

is the maximal trivial subobject. It is clear that if we pull back $(V^H,P/H)\cong(V^H,P)\in\mathfrak{C}(X/k,\bar{x})$ to $\bar{k}$ there is an isomorphism

$$(V^H,\bar{P}/\bar{H})\cong(V^H,\bar{P})\cong(V^H,\bar{P}')\in\mathfrak{C}(\bar{X}/k,\bar{x}).$$

But at the same time, $(V^H,P/H)$ is the pull-back of $(V^H,l)\in\mathfrak{C}(k/k,\bar{x})$. This checks the conditions (a) and (b) in [EPS][Appendix Theorem A.1 (iii)], and (c) has been checked in 4.3, so we get the exact sequence. ☐

5.2. **The study over a non perfect field.** Let X be a scheme proper separable and geometrically connected over an arbitrary field k of characteristic $p>0$. Let $\bar{x}:\mathrm{Spec}\,(\bar{k})\to X$ be a geometric point. Let $I_{LC}\subseteq N(X/k,\bar{x})$ be the full subcategory consisting of triples whose groups are local and commutative. Let $\pi^{LC}(X/k,\bar{x})$ be the Tannakian group of the category $\mathfrak{C}(\bar{X}/k,\bar{x},I_{LC})$.

Proposition 5.7. *Under the above conditions we have an exact sequence of affine k-group schemes:*

$$1\to\pi^{LC}(\bar{X}/k,\bar{x})\to\pi^{LC}(X/k,\bar{x})\to\pi^{LC}(k/k,\bar{x})\to 1.$$

Proof. By 5.8 and 5.9 below we have the injectivity on the left and the surjectivity on the right. So we only need to prove the middle exactness.

Now suppose we have $(V,P)\in\mathfrak{C}(X/k,\bar{x},I_{LC})$, where P stands for a triple $(P,G,q)\in N(X/k,\bar{x},I_{LC})$. Without loss of generality we may assume the goup homomorphism $\pi^{LC}(X/k,\bar{x})\to G$ induced by the triple is surjective. Let $(\bar{P}',H,p)\subseteq(\bar{P},G,p)$ be the inclusion induced by

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi^N(\bar{X}/k,\bar{x}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, two heads] & \pi^N(X/k,\bar{x}) \arrow[d, two heads] \\
H \arrow[r, hook] & G
\end{tikzcd}$$

Because H is the image of $\pi^N(\bar{X}/k,\bar{x})$ in G

$$(V^H,\bar{P}')\subseteq(V,\bar{P})\in\mathfrak{C}(\bar{X}/k,\bar{x})$$

is the maximal trivial subobject. It is clear that if we pull back $(V^H,P/H)\cong(V^H,P)\in\mathfrak{C}(X/k,\bar{x},I_{LC})$ to $\bar{k}$ there is an isomorphism

$$(V^H,\bar{P}/\bar{H})\cong(V^H,\bar{P})\cong(V^H,\bar{P}')\in\mathfrak{C}(\bar{X}/k,\bar{x},I_{LC}).$$

But by [Nori2][Lemma 2] (this is the only place where properness of X is used), $(V^H, P/H)$ is the pull-back of $(V^H, l) \in \mathfrak{C}(k/k, \bar{x}, I_{LC})$. This checks the conditions (a) and (b) in [EPS][Appendix Theorem A.1 (iii)], and (c) has been checked in 5.8, so we get the exact sequence.

□

Lemma 5.8. *Let k_p be the perfect closure of k, $X_p := X \times_k k_p$. The natural functor*

$$p^* : \mathfrak{C}(X/k, \bar{x}, I_{LC}) \to \mathfrak{C}(X_p/k, \bar{x}, I_{LC})$$

satisfies the following property: for any $(V, P) \in \mathfrak{C}(X_p/k, \bar{x}, I_{LC})$ there exists an object $(W, Q) \in \mathfrak{C}(X/k, \bar{x}, I_{LC})$ and an injection

$$(V, P) \hookrightarrow p^*(W, Q).$$

So in particular, by [EPS]*[Appendix Theorem A.1 (ii)] and 5.3, the natural group homomorphism*

$$\pi^{LC}(\bar{X}/k, \bar{x}) \to \pi^{LC}(X/k, \bar{x})$$

is injective.

Proof. Let $k \subsetneq k'$ be a finite field extension inside $k \subsetneq k_p$ such that $P \to X_p$ is defined over $X \times_k k'$. Suppose $k' = k(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_r)$ where $\alpha_i^{p^n} \in k \setminus \{0\}$. Let

$$l := k[X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_r]/(X_1^{p^n} - \alpha_1^{p^n}, X_2^{p^n} - \alpha_2^{p^n}, \cdots, X_r^{p^n} - \alpha_r^{p^n}).$$

Then Spec (l) is a $\prod_{i=1}^r \mu_{p^n}$-torsor over k whose residue field is k'. So there is a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & P_l \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & P_{k'} \arrow[d] \\
X \times_k k_p \arrow[r] & X \times_k l \arrow[r] & X \times_k k'
\end{tikzcd}.$$

Via replacing Gal(l/k) by $\prod_{i=1}^r \mu_{p^n}$, $\bar{k}$ by k_p, $\bar{X}$ by $X \times_k k_p$, we can now repeat the argument in 4.3 to finish the proof. □

Lemma 5.9. *For any X locally noetherian scheme geometrically connected separable over a field k, $\bar{x}$: Spec $(\bar{k}) \hookrightarrow X$ a geometric point, the natural k-group scheme homomorphisms*

$$\pi^{LC}(X/k, \bar{x}) \to \pi^{LC}(k/k, \bar{x})$$

$$\pi^{L}(X/k, \bar{x}) \to \pi^{L}(k/k, \bar{x})$$

are surjective.

Proof. We just prove the surjectivity of the second homomorphism, the proof of the first is the same. Suppose that we have an object $(l, G, t) \in N(k/k, \bar{x}, I_L)$ and that we have a morphism

$$(Q, H, s) \to (l \times_k X, G, t) \in N(X/k, \bar{x}, I_L),$$

where the group homomorphism $H \to G$ is an imbedding of subgroup. Then we have a section in the category of X-schemes

$$X = Q/H \hookrightarrow (l \times_k X)/H = (l/H) \times_k X.$$

Let k' be the residue field of the one point scheme l/H. Because X is reduced the map $X \hookrightarrow (l/H) \times_k X \xrightarrow{pr_1} l/H$ factors through the point $\mathrm{Spec}\,(k') \to l/H$. Hence X is a scheme over k'. Since X is geometrically connected and geometrically reduced over k, the extension $k \subseteq k'$ has to be trivial. Thus $k = k'$. In other words, l/H has a k-section. Via pulling back the map $l \to l/H$ along the section $\mathrm{Spec}\,(k) \to l/H$ we get a map $(q, H, t) \to (l, G, t) \in N(k/k, \bar{x}, I_L)$ in which the group homomorphism is the prescribed imbedding $H \hookrightarrow G$. In particular if the map $\pi^L(k/k, \bar{x}) \to G$ that corresponds to (l, G, t) is surjective, then the composition map

$$\pi^L(X/k, \bar{x}) \to \pi^L(k/k, \bar{x}) \twoheadrightarrow G$$

has to be surjective too. This means precisely that $\pi^L(X/k, \bar{x}) \to \pi^L(k/k, \bar{x})$ is surjective. $\square$

References

[EH] Hélène Esnault, Amit Hogadi, On the algebraic fundamental group of smooth varieties in characteristic $p > 0$,Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 364 (2012), no. 5, 2429-2442.

[EPS] Hélène Esnault, Phùng Hô Hai, Xiaotao Sun, On Nori's Fundamental Group Scheme, Progress in Mathematics, Vol.265, 366-398, Birkhäuser Verlag Basel/Switzerland, 2007.

[Gar] Marco Andrea Garuti, On the "Galois Closure" for Torsors, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009), no. 11, 3575-3583.

[Nori] Madhav Vithal Nori, The fundamental group schemes, Proc.Indian Aacd.Sci. 91(1982), 73-122.

[Nori2] Madhav Vithal Nori, The fundamental group-scheme of an abelian variety, Math.Ann. 263, 263-266.

[SGA1] Alexander Grothendieck, Revêtements Étales et Groupe Fondamental, SGA 1, Springer-Verlag, 1971.

[SGA4] Michael Artin, Alexander Grothendieck, Jean-Louis Verdier, Théorie de Topos et Cohomologie Etale des Schémas, SGA 4, Springer-Verlag, 1963/64.

Freie Universität Berlin, FB Mathematik und Informatik, Arnimallee 3, Zimmer 112A 14195 Berlin, Deutschland

E-mail address: `l.zhang@fu-berlin.de`